



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
(sparta01.005)

**PATENT**

**Applicant:** Flam

**Paper No.:** N/A

**Application No:** 10/036,591

**Group Art Unit:** 2176

**Filed:** 11/7/01

**Examiner:** Robert M. Stevens

**Title:** *Graphical User Interface for Automated Process Control*

.....  
Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Submission with an RCE under 37 C.F.R. 114**

**AMENDMENT**

**Summary of the prosecution**

Applicant received a final Office action on 6/2/05 in the above patent application in which all claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as obvious over the combination of Texier and Schultz. Applicant's attorney responded by arranging an interview which was held July 7, 2005 to discuss the claims and the references and then filing a traversal of the rejection on that same date. Examiner replied with an Advisory Action in which he maintained the rejection. Applicant is now filing a Request for Continuing Examination which includes this Submission, in which claim 1 is amended to better distinguish it from the references.

**Please amend the claims as follows:**